	Case 1:05-cv-00068-OWW-TAG	Document 15	Filed 09/18/06	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CAL	JIFUKNIA	
11	HENG ZHAO,) 1:05-cv-000	68-OWW-TAG H	IC
12	Petitioner,		OOPTING REPORENDATION (Doc.	
13 14	v.		SMISSING AME OF HABEAS CC	NDED PETITION ORPUS (Doc. 7)
15	JOHN ASHCROFT, et al.,		RECTING CLERI JUDGMENT	K OF COURT
16	Respondents.))	JODGMENT	
17	Respondents.			
18		/		
19	On January 18, 2005, Petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28			
20	U.S.C. § 2254 in this Court. (Doc. 1). On April 5, 2005, Petitioner filed an amended petition.			
21	(Doc. 7).			
22	On October 3, 2005, Respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss for Mootness, contending that			
23	Petitioner was no longer in Respondent's custody, having been removed to India on September			
24	28, 2005. (Doc. 13). On July 19, 2006, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case filed a Report			
25	and Recommendation recommending that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss be granted and that			
26	the petition for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed on the grounds of mootness. (Doc. 14). This			
27	Report and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that any objections			
28	were to be filed within fifteen days from the date of service of that order. To date, the parties			
		1		

Case 1:05-cv-00068-OWW-TAG Document 15 Filed 09/18/06 Page 2 of 2

have not filed timely objections to the Report and Recommendation. 1 2 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted 3 a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper 4 5 analysis. 6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Report and Recommendation, filed July 19, 2006 (Doc. 14), is ADOPTED IN 7 FULL; 8 9 2. The amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 7), is DISMISSED; and 3. 10 The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to ENTER JUDGMENT and close the file. 11 This order terminates the action in its entirety. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 13 Dated: **September 16, 2006** $emm0d\overline{6}$ 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28